



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,934	09/975,934 10/15/2001		Hajime Akimoto	520.36114CX1	2676	
20457	7590	05/23/2002				
ANTONEL	LI TERR	Y STOUT AND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1800 1300 NORT		TEENTH STREET	LIANG, REGINA			
ARLINGTO	ARLINGTON, VA 22209				PAPER NUMBER	
				2674	2674	
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ne

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		(1)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/975,934	AKIMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
5 44 10 0 0 4 T 5 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Regina Liang	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro . cause the application to become ABANDO	timety filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u> April 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/975,934

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-9, 11-16 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,329,973. This is a double patenting rejection.

The term "AND functional circuit" in the independent claims of the present application and the term "AND logical circuit" in the allowed independent claims of the prior U.S. Patent No. 6,329,973 are directed to the same AND gate circuit, therefore, claims 1-15 of the present application are claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-9, 11-16 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,329,973.

- 3. Applicant's remarks regarding the preliminary amendment filed on 10/15/01 has been noted. However, such indication of the preliminary has been indicated in the last office action by the examiner, specifically note "Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2001" which include the preliminary amendment filed on this date.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/25/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,934

Art Unit: 2674

Applicants' remarks regarding the 101 double patenting are not persuasive. The specification **only** discloses an AND gate circuit 47 for performing an AND function and is implemented with a digital circuit, the specification does not disclose or include any <u>analog</u> circuit (such as an operational amplifier) which performs an AND function. Therefore, in light of the specification, both the <u>AND logical circuit</u> in the patented claims and <u>the AND functional</u> circuit in the present claims are directed to the same AND gate circuit 47, there is no any other AND functional circuit (for example, analog circuit) to perform the AND function other than the AND gate circuit 47. Therefore, the two recitations are directed to the same circuit and are of the same scope.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,934

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4719. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

REGINA LIANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2674